## REMARKS

The Office Action presents two issues. One, claims 159, 160, 161, 244, 247 and 250-258 are objected to for improper multiple dependencies. Two, species election requirements are presented. The Examiner has required the applicants to make eight (8) specie elections for purposes of examination.

In response to the claims objections, applicants have amended claims 159, 160, 161, 244, 247 and 250-258 to remove the multiple dependencies.

In response to the species election requirement, applicants respectfully submit the following specie elections:

Specie A (Claims 134 and 135): Applicants elect the specie of claim 134, namely a glucose test that is manually administered. Applicants note that claims 132 and 133 are generic to both claims 134 and 135. Claim 134 appears to be the only claim that reads on this specie since no claims depend upon claim 134.

Specie B (Claims 139 and 140): Applicants elect the specie of claim 140, namely input data (old data) from a plurality of previous days. Applicants note that claim 132 is generic to claim both claims 139 and 140. Claims 140 and 242-244 appear to read on this specie.

Specie C (Claims 146-148): Applicants elect the specie of claim 147, namely entry of "old data" into the invention program by downloading directly from the device. Applicants note that claim 132 is generic to claims 146-148. Claim 147 appears to be the only claim that reads on this specie since no claims depend upon claim 147

Specie D (Claims 151 and 152): Applicants respectfully traverse this requirement. Claim 152 is dependent upon claim 151 and thus does not present a separate specie. Applicants provisionally elect claim 151, if necessary. Applicants note that claim 132 is generic to claims 151 and 152. Claims 151-154, 173, and 175 read on this specie.

Specie E (Claims 153 and 154): Applicants elect the specie of claim 154.

Applicants note that claims 132, 151 and 152 are generic to claims 153 and 154. Claim

154 appears to be the only claim that reads on this specie since no claims depend upon claim 154.

Specie F (Claims 167-169): Applicants elect the specie of claim 167. Applicants note that claims 132 and 166 are generic to claims 167-169. Claim 167 appears to be the only claim that reads on this specie since no claims depend upon claim 167.

Specie G (Claims 178-179, 183-184): Applicants elect the specie of claim 184. Applicants note that claim 132 is generic to claims 178 and 179, while claims 132 and 182 are generic to claims 183 and 184. Claim 184 appears to be the only claim that reads on this specie since no claims depend upon claim 184.

Specie H (Claims 193 and 196-197): Applicants elect the specie of claim 193. Applicants note that claims 132, 141, 189 and 192 are generic to claims 193 and 196-197. Claims 193, 194 and 195 read on this specie.

Upon entry of this Amendment, Claims 132-268 are pending in the present application. Favorable action in regard to the application is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this response, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.

Bv:

Todd Deveau Reg No 29 526

100 Galleria Parkway Suite 1750 Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948 (770) 933-9500

Docket No. 820802-1010